

## WHAT IS Access <br> Management

The control and regulation of the spacing and design of:

Driveways

## Medians

Median Openings
000 Traffic Signals
Freeway Interchanges

## What are the Benefits of Management

OPERATIONAL

- Reduced Delay
- Increased Capacity


## ENVIRONMENTAL

- Improved Fuel Economy
- Reduced Emissions


## SAFETY

- Fewer/Less Severe Accidents



## Limit the number of conflict points

Separate the conflict points

Remove turning vehicles and queues from through movements

## Connection <br> Location \& Design



On-Site Circulation
\& Parking

# CHANNELZATION <br>  

 CONFLCT REDUCTION To Achieve Goal \#1

## CONFLICIS

36 conflicts

## CONFLCTS



## Separation of Conflicts



- Driveway Spacing
- Corner Clearance
- Median Opening Spacing

To Achieve Goal \#2

## Access Management Standards

|  | Well planned |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Class

$\stackrel{\leftrightarrow}{\text { Goal \#3 - Remove Turning }}$
Vehicles and Queues from Through Lanes


Techniques to remove turns and queues from the through movement


## Turn radii Driveway flare Driveway width



## Turn lanes Turn tapers

## Better site design



Regulations and Florida Guidance on Access Management


RULE 14-96
Dealing with the Application and Permit Process

RULE 14-97
Dealing with the Access Management
Classification System and Standards for Access

## STANDARD INDEX -

For geometric design and materials standards of driveways

## MEDIAN HANDBOOK -

Access Management procedures on district teams

## 14-97 TheStandards Rule

## Establishes Access Management Classifications

 1 = Freeways/Most Control TO
7 = Least Control
Procedure and Criteria for Establishing Classifications


Roads most intended for high speed/high volume traffic would have the highest standards
Established Interim Standards Based on Posted Speed Limits

## 14-96 The Permits Rule

* Applications \& Permits Prodecure
$\widehat{*}$ Closing \& Redesigning Existing Driveways
Local Government Coordination on Permits
$\stackrel{\omega}{*}$ Traffic Study Requirements
$\leftrightarrow$ Non-Conforming Driveways
$\leftrightarrow$ Performance Bond Requirements



# Importance of Functional Cassification in Site Planning 

## All types of roads are needed for mobility





| INTERSTATES | CLASS 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| INTRASTATES |  |
| ARTERIALS |  |

## Promote Activity Centers with

 Supporting Roads



## Access Relationship Between Functional Classes



## PUBLCSTREET SITE GRCULATION

Major Arterial Access drive of a very large development (shopping center of $1,000,000$ GLA)

Minor Arterial
Access drive of a medium size development (500,000-750,000 GLA);
Ring road for a very large development
Major Collector
Circulation road connecting parking areas of a large development; Access drive of a medium development

Minor Collector

## Local

Circulation at end of parking rows; access drive to convenience development

The aisles between parking stalls;
Driveway of neighborhood shopping center

## Internal Circulation Patterns




## General Review

 of Site PlanSaile. $\frac{R e a^{2}}{10} \ln ^{2}$ ding
n
Parking
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## Driveways = Intersections



Source: 1990 AASHTO Greenbook

## Where drivenays should not be

Functional
Area


Driveways should not be situated within the functional boundary of at-grade intersections. This boundary would include the longitudinal limits of auxiliary lanes ...

AASHTO Greenbook

## Drivevay Location Principles

- Away from intersections

Access directed to side streets
No backout
Avoid driveways along right turn lanes
Use connection spacing standards No "Open Frontages"



## SPACING BETWEEN MEDIAN OPENINGS

| Access Class | Medians <br> "Restrictive" physically prevent vehicles crossing "Non-Restrictive" allow turns across any point | Connection Spacing (feet) |  | Median Opening Spacing |  | Signal Spacing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | >45mph | \$45mph | Directional | Full |  |
| 2 | Restrictive w/ Service Roads | 1320 | 660 | 1320 | 2640 | 2640 |
| 3 | Restrictive | 660 | 440 | 1320 | 2640 | 2640 |
| 4 | Non-Restrictive | 660 | 440 |  |  | 2640 |
| 5 | Restrictive | 440 | 245 | 660 | $2640 /$ 1320 | $2640 /$ 1320 |
| 6 | Non-Restrictive | 440 | 245 |  |  | 1320 |
| 7 | BothMedianTypes | 125 |  | 330 | 660 | 1320 |

## Functional Area of an Intersection



## Departure Side Functional Area for Urban/Suburban Area

Departure Side Functional Area for Urban/Suburban Areas

|  | Meters | Feet |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum | 75 | 245 |
| Desirable | 100 | 350 |



## SITE



## Where Should Access Go?



## Corner Clearance

Helps customers




Note lack of corner clearance in this downtown location. Perhaps this entrance should have been located to the side street.


## INIERCHANGE AREAS



## INIERCHANGE AREA

REFERENCE 14-97.003(1)(j)1-3
$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{*}$

Arterial C (45mph)

1/4 Mile or to intersection (whichever is shorter)

440 feet

## INIERCHANGE AREA

## REFERENCE 14-97.003(1)(j)1-3

Arterial C (50mph)

1/4 Mile or to intersection (whichever is shorter)

660 feet



## Direct Connections

A connection will be made
(full, right in/out, right out only, etc.)
on every abutting state highway -- UNLESS:

"A property owner shall be granted a permit for an access connection to the abutting state highway, unless the permitting of such access connection would jeopardize the safety of the public or have a negative impact upon the operational characteristics of the highway."

### 335.184(3)FS

$\Leftrightarrow$ There is a safety concern (such as sight distance or heavy conflicting volumes
$\Leftrightarrow$ The connection would have a negative impact on operations
$\Leftrightarrow$ The property is on a freeway or service road 335.181 (7)

# " Nothing in this subsection limits the department's authority to restrict the operational characeristics of a particular means of access." <br> ```\[ 335.184(3)(d) F S \]``` 

Nothing in the law limits the Department's authority to restrict the driveway's allowed movements such as right-in only or right out only


## What Should be in a Site Plan?

## Access Permit Categories

| Access Permit Categories - Rule 14-96 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Vehicles per Day | Fees |
| A | to 20 VPD |  |
| B | $21-600$ | $\$ 50$ |
| C | $601-1,200$ | $\$ 1,000$ |
| D | $1,201-4,000$ | $\$ 2,000$ |
| E | $4,001-10,000$ | $\$ 3,000$ |
| F | $10,001-30,000$ | $\$ 4,000$ |
| G | Over 30,000 | $\$ 5,000$ |

## NECESSARY INFORMATION

## Site plan

- Basic geometry of site/ Aerial photographs
- Detailed drawing of access, circulation \& parking
- Landscaping details
- Location of existing/ proposed utilities
- Finished grades and contours Neighboring properties

Critical measurements (Rule 14-97)
Distance between driveways
Corner clearance
Median opening spacings
Traffic data critical to the site analysis
Look especially for conflicts (left turns)

## Critical Measures on SitePlan

## Distances to Neighboring

Driveways
Intersections
Median Openings

660 feet if posted speed is 45 mph or less 1,320 feet if posted speed is greater than 45 mph

## What minimuminformation is required for a site plan review?

For developments over 600 daily trips:
今 All proposed driveways $600+$
$\Leftrightarrow$ Any internal site circulation element impacting the public road system
$\Leftrightarrow$ Right of way lines
$\Leftrightarrow$ Neighboring property lines
$\leftrightarrow$ Critical road features and distance measures
$\Leftrightarrow$ Distance from neighboring driveways, median openings, and signals

> The larger the project, the more detail required

## Traffic Study Requirements

For developments over 1,200 daily trips:


Source: 14-96

Trip generation analysis (peak hour)
Critical peak hour turning movements
Traffic operations analysis of sufficient detail

The larger the project, the more detail required

## Overall Review of Access Plans

1. Driveway location - Meet Rule 14-97 standards? Located in the functional area?
2. Total number of driveways - Can number of driveways be reduced?

3. Driveway radius or flare - Getting vehicles on and off
4. Driveway width - Too wide?
5. Auxiliary lanes - Right or left turning traffic?
6. Angle of driveways - One-way drives
7. Driveway grade - Entry and exit at safe speeds
8. Sight distance - Are obstructions in the line of sight?
9. Circulation pattern - Circulation to take place on-site
10. Projected conditions - Is there enough storage?
11. Physical construction design - Construction materials sufficient?

## Guidelines for External Study Area

## Traffic Assessment Categories A and B

1-600 trips per day
Traffic generally of little impact


> Examples Category A \& B Single Family Home
> Duplex
> Mom and Pop Catering

## Traffic Assessment <br> Categories C

$601-1,200$ trips per day
Evaluate driveway movements for potential problems

- You may require study if you have concerns


Examples Category C<br>50 home subdivision<br>30,000 sq ft Medical Office<br>100 room Motel<br>50,000 sq ft General Office

## Traffic Assessment <br> Categories D

A comprehensive study may be necessary
1,201-4,000 trips per day
Evaluate driveway movements
Assess impacts on nearby intersections


## Examples Category D

## 1,201-4,000 trips per day

300 home subdivision
35,000 sq ft Shopping Center

- neighborhood size

1,000 sq ft C onvenience Market

- with 6 fueling stations

300,000 sq ft General Office

- approx. 25 acres at suburban densities



## Traffic Assessment - Category E

4,001-10,000 trips per day
Evaluate driveway movements
Assess impacts on several nearby intersections


## Examples Category E

## 4,001 to 10,000 trips per day

 400,000 sq ft of General Office 150,000 sq ft shopping center 500 home subdivision

## Traffic Assessment - Cat egory F

10,000-30,000 trips per day Evaluate driveway movements Assess impacts on several nearby intersections Includes regional and long range impacts


## Examples Category F

- 10,000-30,000 trips per day
- 1.4 Million sq ft General Office
- 200,000 sq ft shopping center
- 2,000 Home subdivision



## Traffic Assessment - Category G

Over 30,000 trips per day
Assess impacts on intersections and wide range of facilities Includes regional and long range impacts


## Examples - Category G

-1.5 Million sq ft Regional Mall -6 Million sq ft General Office -Large mixed use


## TURN LANES

## FULL RIGHT TURN LANE

## TAPER

(Not a full right turn lane)



## Right Turn Lane

Anytime right turns are expected to be greater than 40 right turns per hour, a separate right turn lane should be considered

## Right-Turn Lane Guidelines

2 Iane highways


4 lane high speed roads

|  | Full-Width <br> Turn Lane |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| Taper |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Total Peak Hour Approach Volume (VPH)

* These guidelines may be inappropriate in built-out urban areas

Conditions for providing a separate right turn lane for less than warranted traffic:

- Heavier than normal peak flows
- High operating speeds - such as 55 mph
- Site in an undeveloped or developing area
- Poor internal site design causing potential of "backups" on the through lanes
- Local government policy


# Conditions for not requiring a right turn lane where possibly warranted: 

Pedestrian concerns


Dense or built-out corridor where space is limited
Where sufficient length or property width is not available for appropriate design

Local government policy

Where conditions may warrant a separate right turn and it cannot be provided,
a 35-50ft radius should be provided on the approach edge of the connection





## Design guidance not in Rule 14-97

## CONTINUOUS RIGHT TURN LANES

- May encourage use as a through-lane
- May lead to confusion where cars will turn right into driveway or street?




## ACCESS/ SERMCE ROADS

## Problems with frontage roads

Even one-way frontage roads (the safest) create additional conflict and confusion close to signalized intersections

Unless carefully designed and coordinated, they work OK -until you put traffic on them

Full of unfamiliar movements
 Stop signs have been added to frontage road due to confusion on right of way.


Confusing intersection as frontage road intersects with a major side street.



## Residential Frontage Road





## DRIVEWAY WDTH



Adequate Driveway Width can also help to get turning vehicles off the road at greater speed and with less encroachment into the oncoming driveway traffic

The faster the turning vehicle can get off the road, the less conflict with through-movement vehicles


# Flarels Used Instead of Turn Radius in Curb and Gutter Sections 



# The minimum distance for flare is $10 \mathrm{ft}(3.0 \mathrm{~m})$ 

Standard Index No. 515

## Pedestrian exposure due to very large radii




Standard Index \#515

## TRIP GENERATION EXAMPLES

| 1-20 trips/day or 1-5 tripss/hour | 1 or 2 single family homes |
| :---: | :---: |
| 21-600 trips/day or 6-60 trips/hour | Quadraplex <br> Apartment building <60 units Small office in converted home Mom \& Pop business |
| 601-4,000 trips/day or 61-400 trips/hour | Small "STRIP" shopping center (20-75K ft ) <br> Gas station/Convenience marke |
| over 4,000 trips/day or over 400 trips/hour | 150 K ft shopping centergrocery/drug store $+10-15$ smaller stores ( 9,000 trips split w/ 2 driveways) |

if they have more than one driveway, there wil be less traffic on each driveway


Important Highlights of "General Notes" Turnout Section Index \#515
\& Driveway separation strandards handled in Rule 14-97

* Standard Index \#515 not to be used for "Full City/Local Street Intersection Design"
* Connections with over 4,000 VPD should be designed as a "full intersection" in cooperation with local government standards



## Drivenay

 ConfigurationWhen driveway volumes exceed 500 per day a three-lane cross-section should be considered



Lack of pavement markings


Clear pavement markings.

## Drivenay Channelizing Island




## Channe Islands


"Pork chop" islands cannot control left turns without a median on the major road.


"Pork chop" islands cannot control left turns without a median on the major road. Note car going around the driver wanting to turn left where it is not allowed.

"Pork chop" islands cannot control left turns without a median on the major road. Note car going around the driver wanting to turn left where it is not allowed.




Pork chop" islands cannot control left turns without a median on the major road. With a median, these pork chops provide useful guidance to the driver.

## MinimumSize of Channelization Island



## Minimum:

area $7 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ or $75 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ wain 1.2 m or 4 ft

More desirable: area $9 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ or $100 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ widh 1.8 m or 6 ft
This allows for pedestrians (even wheelchairs)

Chanelizing islands need to be larger than this


骦

## RIGHTTURN GHANNELIZATION DESIGN



## Grades in Standard Index

## Maximum =

## $10 \%$

## Commercial



Remember: Homes turn into commercial where roads are improved.

## VERTICAL DRIVEWAY GEOMEIRY



## Roadway Superelevation



## Cross-section

## Sight Distances



## Stopping Sight Distance



Sight Distance For Right \& Left Turns

Sight Distance For Crossing manuever

## MinimumStopping Sight Distance

## Operating

 Speed (mph) (feet)

INIERSECIION SIGHT DISTANCE


## Right and Left Tưn Sight Distance



Sight Distance

Source: Standard Index \#546

| Design Speed |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Speed (mph) | Sight Distance <br> at Intersection |
| 35 | 470 ft |
| 40 | 580 |
| 45 | 710 |
| 50 | 840 |
| 55 | 990 |
| 60 | 1,150 |



Sight Distance for U-Turn at Unsignalized Median Opening

| Speed (mph) | Sight Distance (ft) |
| :---: | :---: |
| 35 | 520 |
| 40 | 640 |
| 45 | 830 |
| 50 | 1040 |
| 55 | 1250 |



# Guidelines for left-turn lane on two-lane highways 40 mph / 600 veh opposing / 5\% lefts of 410 

Left turn volumes to the side street exceed 20 vehicles per hour

Intersection geometrics result in inadequate sight distance

Source: AASHTO Greenbook 1990 pg. 791

## Recommended taper

## 4:1 FDOT recommended taper

- More Storage
- Less chance of a vehicle blocking through lane
- Most appropriate in urban areas with "informed" drivers


## 8:1 Previously recommended

## Some Median and Median Opening Principles

## SPACING BETWEEN MEDIAN OPENINGS

| Access Class | Medians <br> "Restrictive" physically prevent vehicles crossing "Non-Restrictive" allow turns across any point | Connection Spacing (feet) |  | Median Opening Spacing |  | Signal Spacing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | >45mph | \$45mph | Directional | Full |  |
| 2 | Restrictive w/ Service Roads | 1320 | 660 | 1320 | 2640 | 2640 |
| 3 | Restrictive | 660 | 440 | 1320 | 2640 | 2640 |
| 4 | Non-Restrictive | 660 | 440 |  |  | 2640 |
| 5 | Restrictive | 440 | 245 | 660 | $2640 /$ 1320 | $2640 /$ 1320 |
| 6 | Non-Restrictive | 440 | 245 |  |  | 1320 |
| 7 | BothMedianTypes | 125 |  | 330 | 660 | 1320 |

## No More Median Removals




Note the medians on this road.


## No openings across left turn lanes




## Avoid openings across right turn lanes



## No openings that fail



## $\leftrightarrow$ What is Median Opening Failure?

Too many stored vehicles


Excessive deceleration in through lane



## No openings in functional area



00


Cars stacked up near a signalized intersection.

## What is the functional area?



## Reaction Time



## Standard Index \#301

Storage and deceleration requirements
@ $45 \mathrm{~mm}(1)$
\#301 has no standard for min. queue

185 ft Storage @ 25 ft per vehicle

## Standard Index \#301



45 mphexample

| mph | mph | Total Decel |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Design Speed | Entry <br> distance "L" ft |  |
| 35 | 25 | 145 |
| 45 | 35 | 185 |
| 50 Urban | $40 / 44$ | 240 |
| 50 Rural | $40 / 44$ | 320 |
| 55 Rural | 48 | 385 |

## Recommended Queues

As measured or projected by traffic study

## 4 cars urban minimum <br> 

2 cars rural
or small town

unless is serves a major generator (large discount store, shopping center, etc.)

## Average queve



## Recommended Left Turn Queue for Unsignalized Openings

| Lefts/Hour | Average <br> Demand <br> Per Interval | Recommended <br> Queue | Recommended <br> Queue FIHS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 1.7 | 3 | 4 |
| 60 | 2.0 | 4 | 5 |
| 80 | 2.7 | 5 | 6 |
| 100 | 3.3 | 6 | 7 |
| 120 | 4.0 | 7 | 8 |
| Rural or |  |  |  |
| Small town |  |  |  |

Assumptions:

1. 120 second interval
2. Approx. probability of "success" (storing all vehicles) 90\% non-FIHS, 95\% FIHS

## Adjustment for Large Vehicles



| Percent | Average Storage |
| :--- | :--- |
| Trucks | Length per Vehicle |



## Small Town Queues



Be aware that major shopping centers and traffic generators exist here, too

They may require more than the minimum
At a minimum:
Check the traffic studies done by thedeveloper or city


## One Very Tight Possible Scenario

Urban conditions @ 45 mph design


640 ft

## More realistic minimumscenario

## Urban conditions @ 45 mph design



## District Median Access Management Teamin Each District

$\Leftrightarrow$ Decision can be made by responsible engineer
$\Leftrightarrow 10 \%$ for "Full" openings
District can be more strict
$\Leftrightarrow$ Directional openings - "case-by-case"


Remember:
even less than 10\% deviations might be a problem

## Favorable Conditions for Variance

- Alleviate significant congestion?
© Joint access



## Other Conditions for Variance

Un-relocatable or unique historic features
Where strict adherence would cause safety problem

Where a directional would replace a "full" opening

Emergency vehicle openings

## Unfavorable Conditions for Variance

X Intrastate system
$X$ Where any opening is unsafe example: SR 436 near l-4

Openings in functional area of intersection
High crash locations
Where alternatives exist

## Placement of Drivevays <br> Near Median Opening's



## Staying ahead of problems

## Rural multilane in suburbanizing areas

$\Leftrightarrow$ Change bullet nose to storage
$\Leftrightarrow$ Close under-used openings

Rural "Bullet" Nose



## Summary of Standards and Recommendations

| Minimum | $\mathbf{4 0}$ mph or less | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5}$ feet | Reconstruction <br> Projects |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Minimum | 45 mph | 19.5 feet |  |
| Minimum | 55 mph or less | 22 feet |  |
| Guidance from <br> Plans Preparation <br> Manual | 55 mph or greater | 40 feet |  |
| Recommended | 4 lane <br> highways | 30 feet for single lefts <br> 42 feet for dual lefts |  |
| Recommended | 6 lane <br> highways | 22 feet for single lefts <br> 34 feet for dual lefts |  |

## Median Wdth

| Minimum | $\mathbf{4 0}$ mph or less | $\mathbf{1 5 . 5}$ feet | Reconstruction <br> Projects |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Minimum | 45 mph | 19.5 feet |  |
| Minimum | 55 mph or less | 22 feet |  |
| Guidance from <br> Plans Preparation <br> Manual | 55 mph or greater | 40 feet |  |
| Recommended | 4 lane <br> highways | 30 feet for single lefts <br> 42 feet for dual lefts |  |
| Recommended | 6 lane <br> highways | 22 feet for single lefts <br> 34 feet for dual lefts |  |




Directional openings in a narrow median requires the use of $1120: 96$ painted areas to encourage the allowed movements.


## ON-SITE GRCULATION \& PARKING

## On-SiteCharacteristics

 to Evaluate-.- Vehicular conflict points " T " intersections on-site
(0) Sight distances

ㅡㅡㅡㅡㅡㄹ Delineation of roadways
$=-$ Width of roadways



Do you really want people backing out of parking in the major aisle of the site?

## PUBLCSTREET SITE GRCULATION

Major Arterial

## Minor Arterial

Access drive of a medium size development (500,000-750,000 GLA);
R ing road for a very large development
Major Collector
Circulation road connecting parking areas of a large development;
Access drive of a medium development
Minor Collector

## Local

Access drive of a very large development (shopping center of $1,000,000$ GLA)

Circulation at end of parking rows; access drive to convenience development

The aisles between parking stalls;
Driveway of neighborhood shopping center


## Insufficient Connection Depth



## Connection Depth



Generally adequate driveway connection depth for major entrances

|  | Meters | Feet |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Regional Shopping Centers (malls) | 75 | 250 |
| Community Shopping Center <br> (supermarket, drug store, etc.) | 25 | 80 |
| Small Strip Shopping Center | 10 | 30 |
| Regional Office Complex | 75 | 250 |
| Office Center | 25 | 80 |
| Other Smaller Commercial Developments | 10 | 30 |



Throat depth for small shopping center.


## Provide For On-site Circuity



## On-SiteCharacteristics to Evaluate


$\Leftrightarrow$ Pedestrian Concerns
$\Leftrightarrow$ Special Concerns

- Fire Lanes
- Large Vehicle Concems
- Loading Docks
- Solid Waste
- Treament of Outparcels



The view the pedestrian sees as they walk to the development through the back.

## Excessive Queues at Drive-Through




Lunch hour queue. Yo quiero Taco Bell.

## Drive-Thru Facility Queue Distances

| Use | Observed <br> Queue | Lane Length <br> Required |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| Fast-Food (hamburger) | 9 | $60 \mathrm{~m}(198 \mathrm{ft})^{*}$ |  |
| Bank | 7 | $47 \mathrm{~m}(154 \mathrm{ft})$ |  |
| Car Wash (self-service) | 2 | $13 \mathrm{~m}(44 \mathrm{ft})$ |  |
| Day Care | 9 | $60 \mathrm{~m}(198 \mathrm{ft})$ |  |
| Dry Cleaner | 2 | $13 \mathrm{~m}(44 \mathrm{ft})$ |  |

Source: Queuing Areas For Drive-Thru Facilities, ITE Journal, May 1995.
*Queue length per vehicle is 6.5 m (22ft), which is less than the average $7.5 \mathrm{~m}(25 \mathrm{ft})$ used for queues on the road system.

## Some Site Planning Techniques

## Shared Rear Lot Minimizes Drivenays

Car courtyards allow vehicles to enter and exit forwards


## Wde Lots Give More Flexbility

Wide lots allow for large driveways so vehicles can enter and exit forwards


## Use of Side Street Access








Development without direct driveway access.

## Use of SideStreet Access To Serve Inside Lots

Lots fronting major street with hammerhead car access


## J oint Access Issue



Sometimes lack of depth causes conflict.




## Strategies for Residential

 Site Planning* Require reverse frontage Primary access should be to local streets


## REQUIRE



ARTERIAL




## Encourage Transit-Friendly Site Design <br> 不象



Preferred

## Coordinate transit and pedestrian access



Residential Subdivision Design

Non-Highway Corridors 14-96.007(10)

- No automatic right to access
- Corridor considered an "intervening property"




## Access Management \&Site Planning



NOT the other way around

